JOHN L. BURRIS, Esq./ State Bar # 69888 LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 1120 Oakland, CA 94621 Telephone: (510) 839-5200 Facsimile: (510) 839-3882		
GAYLA B. LIBET, Esq./ State Bar # 109173 LAW OFFICES OF GAYLA B. LIBET		
486 41st Street, Suite 3 Oakland, CA 94609		
Attorneys for Plaintiff CYNTHIA LANGSTON		
ARLENE M. ROSEN, Esq./ State Bar # 100160 Senior Deputy City Attorney		
One Frank Ogawa Plaza, Sixth Floor Oakland, CA 94612		
Facsimile: (510) 238-6500		
Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF OAKLAND, WAYNE G. TUCKER, and PATRICK GERRANS		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
CYNTHIA LANGSTON,	Action No. 3:10-cv-01084-SI	
Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO COMPLETE	
VS.	SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE	
CITY OF OAKLAND, a municipal	Date:	
capacity as Chief of Police for CITY OF OAKLAND; PATRICK GERRANS,	Time: . Location:	
officer for CITY OF OAKLAND; and, DOES	Honorable Susan Illston	
STIPULATION		
	LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 1120 Oakland, CA 94621 Telephone: (510) 839-5200 Facsimile: (510) 839-3882 GAYLA B. LIBET, Esq./ State Bar # 109173 LAW OFFICES OF GAYLA B. LIBET 486 41st Street, Suite 3 Oakland, CA 94609 Telephone and Facsimile: (510) 420-0324 Attorneys for Plaintiff CYNTHIA LANGSTOM ARLENE M. ROSEN, Esq./ State Bar # 1001 Senior Deputy City Attorney One Frank Ogawa Plaza, Sixth Floor Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (510) 238-3865 Facsimile: (510) 238-6500 Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF OAKLAN and PATRICK GERRANS UNITED STATES NORTHERN DISTRI CYNTHIA LANGSTON, Plaintiff, vs. CITY OF OAKLAND, a municipal corporation; WAYNE G. TUCKER, in his capacity as Chief of Police for CITY OF OAKLAND; PATRICK GERRANS, individually, and in his capacity as a police officer for CITY OF OAKLAND; and, DOES 1-25, inclusive, Defendants.	

27

28

All parties to this action stipulate and agree, by and through their respective counsel, as follows:

- 1. Per the Order issued on <u>1-6-11</u> by Magistrate Judge Laurel Beeler, regarding the Settlement Conference presently scheduled for <u>1-21-11</u> at 9:30 a.m., this Stipulation and Proposed Order will demonstrate in paragraphs 5-9 herein, a compelling reason for the continuance of deadline by which to complete Settlement Conference from <u>1-31-11</u> to approximately forty-five (45) to sixty (60) days thereafter (from approximately <u>3-5-11</u> to <u>3-31-11</u>);
- 2. Additionally, per Magistrate Judge Laurel Beeler's Order, asks for a continuance of the deadline by which to complete Settlement Conference, from <u>1-31-11</u> to approximately forty-five (45) to sixty (60) days thereafter (from approximately <u>3-5-11 to 3-31-11</u>), this Stipulation and Proposed Order is directed to trial Judge Susan Illston;
- 3. Additionally, per Magistrate Judge Laurel Beeler's Order, this Stipulation and Proposed Order, plaintiff and defendants are listing three (3) alternative dates agreed to by plaintiff and defendants, after reviewing the Court's publically available calendar: http://www.cand.uscourts.gov/CAND/Calendar.nsf. The Court calendar reviewed for Magistrate Judge Laurel Beeler goes as far as 2-25-11. Since the parties are asking for a continuance of the deadline by which to complete Settlement Conference, from 1-31-11 to approximately forty-five (45) to sixty (60) days thereafter (from approximately 3-5-11 to 3-31-11), this Court calendar does not provide options for that time period. Therefore, the parties lest the following three (3) alternative dates for Settlement Conference: (A) 3-15-11; (B) 3-16-11; and, (C) 3-18-11;
- 4. Finally, as per Magistrate Judge Laurel Beeler's Order, plaintiff and defendants acknowledge that unless the Court sets a different date for Settlement Conference, the parties must appear on the already calendared date of <u>1-21-11 at 9;30 a.m.</u>;

- 5. Plaintiff and defendants entered into a Stipulation and Proposed Order giving leave to plaintiff to file and serve First Amended Complaint, naming defendant officer PATRICK GERRANS:
- 6. Plaintiff has not yet been able to locate defendant PATRICK GERRANS, and so has not been able to serve process on this defendant. Defendants inadvertently and erroneously filed and Answer on defendant PATRICK GERRANS' behalf;
- 7. Plaintiff has not yet served written discovery on defendant CITY OF OAKLAND ("CITY"). Plaintiff will serve written discovery on defendant CITY by <u>1-17-11</u>, which will, of course, not be responded to until at least 33 days after service of said written discovery, which will make defendants' written responses to plaintiff due on <u>2-20-11</u>;
- 8. Defendants have served written discovery on plaintiff. Plaintiff's Responses to defendant's written discovery is due on <u>1-11-11</u>;
- 9. Counsel have not yet conducted any depositions in this case. However, defendants have scheduled plaintiff's deposition for <u>1-18-11 at 10:00 a.m.</u>;
- 10. The parties cannot conduct a meaningful Settlement Conference until defendant officer PATRICK GERRANS has been served process; and preliminary written discovery Responses and depositions have been completed; and,
- 11. Therefore, the parties request that this Court extend the time for completion of Settlement Conference from the presently scheduled date for completion, from <u>1-31-11</u> to approximately forty-five (45) to sixty (60) days thereafter (from approximately <u>3-5-11 to 3-31-11</u>).

Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICES OF GAYLA B. LIBET

Dated: January 14, 2011

By:/s/

GAYLA B. LIBET, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

1	1	LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS
2	2	
3	3 Dated: <u>January 14, 2011</u>	By: /s/
4	4	JOHN L. BURRIS, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff
5	5	
6		OAKLAND CITY ATTORNEY'S
7		OFFICE
8		Dvv. /a/
9		By: /s/ ARLENE M. ROSEN, Esq.
10	0	Attorneys for Defendants
11	1	
12	2	
13	ATTORNEY ATTESTATION	
14	I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated	
15	by a conformed signature, indicated as "/s/" within this E-filed document.	
16	6	
17	7 Dated: <u>January 14, 2011</u> By: <u>/s/</u>	YLA B. LIBET, Esq.
18	8	TLA B. LIBET, Esq.
19	9	
20	0	
21	1 ORDER	
22		
23	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDER	ED.
24	4	Suran Illaton
25		NORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON
26		ted States District Court Judge
27	7	